

UNITED 8\ ; DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
•		•		* ****
07/388,079	08/01/89	SATO	R	3506297

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 277 PARK AVE., NEW YORK, NY 10172

EXAMINER SHEHATA, M PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 16 2601

DATE MAILED:

01/25/93

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

				ADVISORT	CHON						
ΣĮ	THE	PERIOD FOR RESP	PONSE:	`							
a) §	6	is extended to run	4 MOS.	or continues to run	from the	date of the final rejection	on				
b) [expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.										
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.135(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.									
	Apı	ellant's Brief is due in	accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).							
区	o t	licant's response to the lace the application in	ne final rejection, fil condition for allov	led <u>1/8/93</u> vance:	has been considered with	the following effect, bu	it it is not deemed				
1. [1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:										
	a. 💢 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.										
	b. 💢 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).										
	c. 🔀 They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).										
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.										
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.										
		NOTE: The Tousid	term 'addit	ionally" Creat	es ambiguidy	thus requirily	burther				
2.		Newly proposed or a the non-allowable claim		would	be allowed if submitted in	a separately filed amer	ndment cancelling				
3.	đ	Upon the filing an app be as follows:	oeal, the proposed	amendment 🗌 will be	entered 💢 will not be en	tered and the status of	the claims will				
		Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected:	1,3-6,9	<u>-/7</u>							
		However;									
		Applicant's respo	nse has overcome	the following rejection(s):						
4. [5	1	The affidavit, exhibit of app licant 103	at a c		nsidered but does not over	come the rejection because the second to the	con rider				
5. []	The affidavit or exhibit presented.	will not be conside	ered because applicant l	nas not shown good and su	fficent reasons why it	was not earlier				
<u></u> □ ™	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.										
	•	N/K	EHATA			JAMES L. DWYER	\bigcup				
		PATENT EXA	MINER			ISORY PATENT EX	AMINER				
		ART UNIT	261			GROUP 2600					

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)